

MINUTES REGULAR MEETING FAIRFIELD COUNTY COUNCIL OCTOBER 26, 2015

Present: Carolyn B. Robinson, Mary Lynn Kinley, Kamau Marcharia, Marion B. Robinson, Dan W. Ruff, Billy Smith, Walter Larry Stewart, Council Members; J. Milton Pope, County Administrator; Davis Anderson, Deputy County Administrator; Shryll M. Brown, Clerk to Council; Jack James, County Attorney

In accordance with the South Carolina Code of Laws, 1976, Section 30-4-80 (e), as amended, the following persons and/or organizations have been notified of the time, date, and location of this meeting: The <u>Herald-Independent</u>, The State, and Winnsboro Cablevision, and one hundred four other individuals.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Robinson called the meeting to order at 6:00 P.M.

☐ Dr. Walt Collins gave Council an update on USC Lancaster.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the agenda. **The motion carried unanimously.**

3. INVOCATION

Council Member Ruff led in the Invocation.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Council Member Robinson; seconded by Council Member Kinley to approve the minutes of the Regular Meeting of October 12, 2015. **The motion carried unanimously.**

5. PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS

Ms. Suzanne	Doscher	was	introduced	to	the	public	and	she	gave a	a pre	esentatio	n (of t	the
Hospital prog	grams and	d ser	vices.						_					

6. 1ST PUBLIC COMMENT (3 MINUTES): INPUT MUST PERTAIN TO ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, FOR WHICH NO PUBLIC HEARING IS REQUIRED OR HAS BEEN SCHEDULED. THE TOTAL TIME ALLOCATED TO THIS PUBLIC COMMENT SEGMENT IS 30 MINUTES.

	Ms.	Emily	Zucchino	-	Rezoning
--	-----	-------	----------	---	----------

□ Mr. Randy Bright - Plan Updates

7. PUBLIC HEARING

Ordinance To Amend The Fairfield County Land Management Ordinance, No. 599, To Provide For The Zoning Reclassification From RD (Rural Resource District) To I-1 (Industrial District) For Two Parcels: (1) Two And One Hundredth (2.01) Acres Owned By Rosezenna Cason White. Applicant Is AEC Pellet 1 USA, Tax Map No. 071-00-00-029-000. Property Is Located At 137 Cason Road, Winnsboro, SC, 29180; And (2) One Hundred And Eighty (180) Acres Owned By Wateree Holdings Llc % Forest Investment Associates. Applicant Is AEC Pellet 1 USA, Tax Map No. 071-04-02-016-000. Property Is Located At Cason Road, Winnsboro, SC, 29180. Chairman Robinson opened public hearing at 6:22 P.M.

The	e following individuals signed to s	speak:
	Mr. Darrell Harbour	
	Mr. D. C. Harbour	
	Mr. Jonathan Warren	
	Ms. Carolyn Carlburg	
	Mr. Robert W. Davis	
	Ms. Sylvia Beaver	
	Ms. Kerry Matthews	
	Ms. Timika Beaver	
Δft	er hearing from all of the above	Chairman Pohinson closed nublic hearing at 6:40 D.M.

8. ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS AND ORDERS

A. Second Reading: Ordinance No. 655 - An Ordinance To Regulate Excessive Noise In the Unincorporated Areas Of Fairfield County, To Provide For Penalties Thereof, And To Repeal Ordinance No. 520. It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Smith to approve Second Reading of Ordinance No. 655. During discussion, Council Member Smith set forth that Council had an amendment at the last meeting to be postponed until this meeting, and asked if everyone had a chance to look over that information and if anyone had any thoughts on it.

Council Member Stewart voiced that he was in favor of the changes that Mr. Smith proposed.

Council Member Kinley stated the Sheriff had no problems with the changes, and felt that he could regulate what was changed.

Chairman Robinson pointed out that in looking at the document, there was muffler language in it, and that she liked the suggestion by the attorney that said "operating within standard noise parameters", which would give the Sheriff some leeway to determine.

Council Member Smith voiced he thought it was a good suggestion as well, but the only question is what is the definition of a standard noise parameter, and he thought that is what Council was trying to get away from and redoing the Ordinance. He added that is what the old Ordinance was based off of--just what the deputy that was sent to the scene thought was too loud, and it was suggested to go to more of a decibel system. Mr. Smith said recalling what the County Attorney said on that, the only concern was with trains making noises as they go about.

Chairman Robinson responded that it all has to do with mobile sources, and there were some decibels discussed; however, the Sheriff would use his discretion in that as well.

Council Member Kinley stated that was something that was never had (the decibel meter); however, the Sheriff has it now, but if Mr. Smith would like a definition, could the Administrator research that information with more detail.

Chairman Robinson asked if the County Attorney would give his definition of how that came about.

Attorney James set forth that was taken from the previous paragraph (about the standard noise parameters), and nobody is going to stop a train and give them a ticket for being too loud, as it is supposed to be loud. Section C(4) is based on what is thought of as normal noises, and the next section would be based on things more like obnoxious noises that were interrupted, loud noises that don't stop. As far as what a standard parameter is, that is hard to define; however, was trying to come up with something that would fit all of the noises included in that section.

Council Member Smith also pointed out the Ordinance also has language that applies to whether a source of noise is impulsive or non-impulsive and how long that noise continues to go on interrupted. He opined that the County would be okay either way with this.

Chairman Robinson pointed out that in Section (D), there are specific prohibitions and items.

Council Member Robinson stated he had talked with numerous citizens, not only from his district, but citizens from other districts called him. A couple of things they brought up were matters such as if you have a hunting shooting club or range or clay sporting or business, it is okay to go out and shoot skeet--lots of people in Fairfield County have lots of land and some of them raise money for schools which skeet shoot, which may be outlawed because of this ordinance. Also, he said the County allows barking dogs, as long as there is an animal shelter or adoption center, but there are people who have hunting dogs, coon dogs or fox dogs out in the country and those people could be breaking this ordinance when those dogs start barking in their pen. He said nobody that he has talked to wants this ordinance passed, and when it comes his time, he will have to vote against it.

Council Member Smith asked to point one thing out in the exemptions, citing there are a lot of exemptions in the ordinance, which is the way it was worked on in the committee to draft it. He stated that the exemption in clay sporting says noise associated with legal operation of any firearm at any shooting club or range, business, hunt club, clay sporting location or event. Event does away with the notion or the thought that it's only a business. He opined that an event can be him and a couple of buddies in the back yard, and that it does not have to be a sanctioned event.

Council Member Robinson stated that if that is what is meant by an event, then it needs to be defined in the ordinance. He stated he lives in the country, own land and like to shoot guns sometimes and shoot skeet sometimes; however, he does not think in any way, shape or form he could understand that an event is him or a couple of his buddies doing that.

Council Member Ruff agreed with Council Member Robinson about making things too governmental and too strict and would like to see Council maintain noise by the officers talking with people as issues come up, and do not think it needs to be made so complicated.

Council Member Smith pointed out that he did not want this to become a discussion about who can shoot their guns and who can't or having a dog bark. He said this is an ordinance that puts a little bit more specificity on a previous ordinance that the County had, whereby a deputy could come out if they had a call and if they thought the noise that was being made they could write a ticket and not have any guideline or barometer or what level that noise was. What this ordinance does is put a decibel level into place so that there is a definition of what is too loud or not too loud. There are exceptions in the ordinance and definitions and has been worked beyond exhaustion. There are differences in the ordinance based on impulsive sounds and non-impulsive sounds. He stated impulsive sound is a gun going off, and is not something that is continuing on and on, and is not something that one would get a ticket for or get written up for and indicated he wanted to be specific on that and make sure this is not being twisted in a way it is not meant to work towards.

Council Member Kinley set forth that the citizens that first came to the committee about changing the noise ordinance was in a densely populated area at Lake Wateree and very serious conditions existed out there. She added that there must be a complaint to pursue a noise ordinance and she looks at this as protection for the citizens who have been discriminated against for the use of their land because of the noise ordinance. The committee has looked at this and it has been defined over and over again. There are no major changes; however, the change that is being proposed is for the protection of the citizens with their home and land. She stated nothing is implied for events or anything and the Sheriff had said he had to have something that the magistrates could charge.

This, she said, is what the committee hoped it would do--is to create a ticket that the magistrate could charge lawfully for people misusing their firearms or 4-wheelers. The committee has talked about this before and these concerns were not heard; however, Council needs to think about the reason this is being done--not to just change the noise ordinance or prevent people from having events or doing what they do on their property. She asked that Council look at the reason this is going to be changed--is to protect citizens and it would be very harmful if the change is not made for the citizens for the use of their property.

Chairman Robinson asked the committee chairman if the committee compared this to what is actually in the Code of Laws.

Council Member Kinley responded affirmatively, saying the Sheriff looked at it also and gave input from the law enforcement side. She said the Sheriff also provided Richland County and Kershaw County ordinances for reference, as well as the committee received information received from Mr. Morris, who taught law and indicated he preferred Fairfield County's ordinance as far as the legal side of it, but liked the proposal that was being made.

Council Member Robinson inquired if Kershaw County finally pass its ordinance, as the last he saw it was tabled and never took any action on it. He also stated that when Mr. Smith talked about the event, if that event, does it not tie in where one has to go get a license or permit if shooting on one's property is considered an event.

Council Member Smith responded that is about a permit for a variance if you are going to have something that goes on that you actually would otherwise be in violation of the noise ordinance, and stated shooting in the back yard would not constitute that. What would, he said, is something like the Blackstock Music Festival, where it is all weekend, with different stages and bands over the course over the week, and this is the type thing that would need a variance for the noise ordinance. He asked to clarify a little bit more about the noise ordinance because he has put a lot of work into it, and the reason he did it was that the committee was forwarded the Berkeley County ordinance, which he thought was terrible and extremely restrictive, and which is why he put so much work into the current one to balance it out. He opined that in this ordinance there is less chance of getting cited for something; however, if one does get cited, it will be something that a court will be able to rule on and uphold, which was not the case with the prior ordinance. He concluded saying this is balanced approach where people can sleep in their home and not have to put earplugs in because the neighbor next door may be shooting a semi-automatic rifle for three hours on end and does not stop at all.

Council Member Kinley stated this is only Second Reading and asked if Council would go forward with Second Reading, and between now and the next time, Council could have the Sheriff to come back in, as well as an attorney to go over this again.

Council Member Smith said he could agree with Council doing this, as well as maybe hold off the amendment until next time so that it can be further clarified as well.

Chairman Robinson set forth that everything has to be cite-specific. She inquired if there are enough decibels to measure a vehicle that goes by with the radio up to high.

Council Member Smith stated it may be somewhere in the specific prohibitions; however, it is one of those things where a deputy actually wouldn't be able to go out to and stand. Additionally, he said, if you make the complaint, the deputy has to come to your property line to judge the noise no matter how far off it is and is something that may be an impediment. To be cited, he said, the noise that is being made, unless it is a specific prohibition, if it falls under the category that the decimeter would even be used, has to continue non-stop for at least 60 seconds.

Chairman Robinson indicated she would prefer that the motions be removed from the floor, and to have one to send it back into committee for further study.

153

Council Member Kinley offered that the entire Council would need to be involved this time, instead of the committee.

Council Member Smith expressed that the committee met four times and citizens were allowed to speak three times. He believed that the work has been exhausted in committee and opined that he would be more favorable if this could be sent to work session.

<u>Chairman Robinson asked that the motions be removed and a time certain could be set to discuss this matter.</u>

<u>Council Member Kinley removed her motion; Council Member Smith removed his second to the motion.</u>

It was moved by Council Member Smith; seconded by Council Member Kinley to send this matter to a Council Work Session. **The motion carried unanimously.**

{The Clerk to Council pointed out that public hearing for the Noise Ordinance is scheduled for November 9, 2015 and inquired if the public hearing should be pulled off. Chairman Robinson confirmed that this should be pulled off}.

D. Second Reading: Ordinance No. 656 - Ordinance To Amend The Fairfield County Land Management Ordinance, No. 599, To Provide For The Zoning Reclassification From RD (Rural Resource District) To I-1 (Industrial District) For Two Parcels: (1) Two And One Hundredth (2.01) Acres Owned By Rosezenna Cason White. Applicant Is AEC Pellet 1 USA, Tax Map No. 071-00-00-029-000. Property Is Located At 137 Cason Road, Winnsboro, SC, 29180; And (2) One Hundred And Eighty (180) Acres Owned By Wateree Holdings Llc % Forest Investment Associates. Applicant Is AEC Pellet 1 USA, Tax Map No. 071-04-02-016-000. Property Is Located At Cason Road, Winnsboro, SC, 29180. Mr. Pope stated that due to the additional information received this evening from the citizens who lived in that particular area where the impact for the property rezoning, he and his staff have worked on the project and wanted to remind everyone that several citizens have consistently come to Council meetings asking about the County participating in economic development in the silviculture area--that the County should look into more potential projects that deal with our industry--people raising trees and having an industry for that. This, he said, is one of those sought out to be able to benefit this community. It is clear; however, that there is concern about the project and believe it was clear, up until this evening, that Council was interested in moving forward with this particular project because we have already outlined the incentive package of the particular project. One clarification that needs to be made is the Planning Commission did favorably recommend two parts of this on to County Council. The recommendation to Council on the 180-parcel, the Commissioners voted 3-2 favorably to pass it on the Council; on the 2.01 acre-parcel, it was a 4-1 recommendation favorably on to Council. Even though those were not unanimous recommendations on to the Council, they were favorable. If this issue is to bifurcated, there are two different issues: the project itself and the rezoning itself. The rezoning of the County is based on the County's Land Use Plan. The primary function of the Planning Commission and the Planning Department is to look at the Comprehensive Plan and to see whether or not rezoning requests that are made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. That is where Council has to make its legal decisions and sometimes put the Council in an awkward position, even though when there may be some public opposition. Think it is worth the merit for the additional information that has been mentioned this evening and would like to offer and ask the Council to allow those citizens and Ms. Zucchino to provide Administration with information so that it can be reviewed, do some analysis on it and provide that to Council. Would like to also ask, since this is a 3-Reading item and the public hearing has been held to receive that information, that we

do not schedule Third Reading until after--and the Council may want to hold another public hearing on the matter just to make sure everyone has an opportunity to be heard on this matter, so that the Council can render its final decision on the matter. This has been very important to the County and the Council wants to be very respectful of the citizens' concerns as well. Some of the parts of the project, i.e., air permit, Fairfield County doesn't approve the permitting on the air permits. That is done by DHEC and have already been issued and we would want to make sure the citizens have accurate information that they are getting from individuals.

Council Member Stewart voiced, that he would prefer, along with Mr. Pope, because the citizenry that has talked with him, some are for it and some are against it, and the County needs more detailed information concerning the air quality and all the other issues that the citizens from District 3 have raised tonight. He opined that Council needs to delay the process; collect the rest of the data and allow the citizens to give any additional input and then more forward. The motion was seconded by Council Member Kinley and Council Member Ruff.

During discussion, Council Member Robinson opined that the Council should amend that motion and go ahead with the Second Reading, but let them send everything to Mr. Pope and maybe have to delay Third Reading, but believe Council should go ahead with Second Reading tonight. Vice Chairman Marcharia seconded Council Member Robinson's suggestion to move forward with Second Reading tonight. Council Member Kinley suggested that Council have a work session on this matter also.

Chairman Robinson asked Council Member Robinson if he were making an amendment to the motion. Council Member Robinson indicated he was, as he did not understand Mr. Stewart's motion to continue with Second Reading.

Council Member Stewart expressed that his motion was to continue with the process; however, before the Council goes to Third Reading, to allow the citizens to give the rest of their input and collect the rest of the facts needed.

Council Member Robinson withdrew the amendment to the motion, since Council Member Stewart is going along with the Second Reading. Vice Chairman Marcharia withdrew the second to the amendment.

Chairman Robinson stated Council is back to the original motion: to consider Second Reading of Ordinance No. 656 tonight, with receiving additional detailed information and consideration for the public to have additional time to have input and receive as much information as possible before Council makes its consideration for a vote on Third Reading, and if necessary, to hold a work session.

Council Member Smith offered to make an amendment to Mr. Stewart's motion that Council also have another meeting of some sort--whether it be a Council Meeting or a work session in between this Second Reading and the Third Reading as well, so that all have a chance to come back together; look at the information together and then move forward from there.

Chairman Robinson stated Council will work through that as to whether a work session is needed before another public hearing. {There was no second to Council Member Smith's amendment}. **The motion was unanimously approved by Council.**

9. BOARD AND COMMISSION MINUTES

Provided as information.

10. BOARD AND COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS

A. Council On Aging Board

It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Appointment Ratification as presented for the Fairfield County Council on Aging Board. **The motion carried unanimously.**

B. Disabilities And Special Needs

It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Appointment Ratification as presented for the Fairfield County Board of Disabilities and Special Needs. **The motion carried unanimously.**

C. Library Commission

It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Appointment Ratification as presented for the Fairfield County Library Commission. **The motion carried unanimously.**

D. Zoning Board Of Appeals

It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Appointment Ratification as presented for the Fairfield County Zoning Board of Appeals. **The motion carried unanimously.**

The Clerk announced the following appointments: Ms. Irene Carter (Council on Aging Board); Ms. Dorothy Brandenburg (Board of Disabilities and Special Needs); Ms. Polly Phillips (Library Commission); Mr. Christopher Pullen (Zoning Board of Appeals). All of the above are representing District 6.

11. OLD BUSINESS

None.

12. NEW BUSINESS

None.

13. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT AND CORRESPONDENCE

A. FEMA Staff Member - Mr. Steve Dunham, Intergovernmental Affairs Specialist. Mr. Dunham stated that he is the official designated to work directly with Council and Administration and any questions can be directed to him. He pointed out to the citizens that if they have damage to apply for assistance at 1-800-621-FEMA, which is available from 0700 to 1000 P.M.

B. Strategic Plan Update. Mr. Pope reported that on August 10, 2015, County Council gave approval to TY Lin and Associates as being the County's strategic planning consultants. That planning process is generally going to take approximately one year; however, there may be ways to expedite portions of it. Council appointed a steering committee, comprised on seven members as follows: Mr. Moses Bell, Mr. Randy Kelley, Ms. Wanda Carnes, Mr. Jonathan Bell, Mr. Donald Quick, Ms. Sonya Kennedy and Mr. Sam Arnette, Jr. Information has been sent out to all the individuals indicating a desired kick off meeting date Thursday, October 29, 2015 from 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Items of discussion are project overview, master planning process, socio-economic analysis and workforce development, community development component, public involvement strategy and document submittal. The steering committee is to review the process and information as it comes in to the County and all of that information will be forwarded on to Council for final decision making. Should have an updated timeline in the next week or so which will be provided to Council.

C. Recreation And Public Safety Projects Review. Mr. Pope expressed that he was happy and proud of Council in its coming together on the recreational projects and the public safety projects. The 2013 IPRB Bond afforded the Council and the community the opportunity to designate up to \$3.5 million dollars initially for recreational purposes. Council went through a process of developing a projects list; bringing on consultants to look at the full operational and capital cost of those items and came back and voted on those. In referring to the minutes of the meeting where Council voted, it was moved by Council Member Robinson; seconded by Council Member Kinley that Council proceed on the Recreation, Fire and EMS by building a community building in Districts 2 and 3, to be contingent upon purchase of land; in District 4 will be a community building with outdoor basketball court and walking trail; District 6 will be ball field lights and walking trail, for a total of \$1,719,954.00. Also, in that motion will be to proceed with the Jenkinsville Fire and EMS Station and Ridgeway Fire Station, for a total of \$2,196,722.00, for a grand total of \$3,916,676.00. *{A \$20,000.00 signage allowance is included, for a total lump sum of \$3,936,676.00}. Mr. Pope referred to the GIS map on the screen and stated that the new proposed projects were highlighted on the map. He stated that Council was asked for approval to look at an appropriate contingency, and based upon where the County is now, approximately \$100,000.00 is needed. The recreational portion of the budget has been significantly reduced, with the inclusion of the public safety projects, for approximately \$4 million dollars. That is not counting the contingency nor counting the \$100,000.00 for the modular building. Council came together to prioritize those projects and was mindful of the budget; therefore, those projects can now be redefined out of the bonding list to be able to move forward with the actual funding. Lovelace and Lovelace is the construction company that was the lowest responsive bidder on the project, and staff will be moving forward with that, as Council has given staff the direction on the recreation and public safety projects.

Vice Chairman Marcharia stated that some years ago, he met with Georgia Pacific and Champion International Paper Companies, and they were willing to give a church 2 or 3 acres of land; however, the church never followed up on it. He opined that, in these rural areas people might want to be able to approach, if they have land, Georgia Pacific or Champion International, because they are definitely selling land and will give land for altruistic purposes.

- **D. Economic Development Position Update.** Mrs. Tiffany Harrison still provides some logistical information to the County, along with the assistance of the I-77 Alliance staff and the Central SC Alliance. The County has gone through an economic development recruiting process; thus far, there were 18 candidates to submit applications and resumes. The position is open until filled. Getting close to filling that position with a qualified, certified economic development person. Have not missed a beat in the transition. Most of the people are in the State of South Carolina with experience in economic development. It is a very small pool of people who generally work at the Department of Commerce as project managers or with one of the 46 counties in that business. Expect within the very near future to make an announcement regarding filling the economic development position.
- **E. County Administrator Search Process.** Council publicly voted on and after Administration had prepared, and in working with procurement documents to solicit search firms for the County Administrator position, it was placed on SCBO. There were no firms from the State of South Carolina to submit proposals for the Administrator search process. Council's directive was that it preferred to have a candidate with significant experience managing in the State of South Carolina. Three firms submitted proposals: Strategic Government Resources Group from Keller, Texas; Novack Consulting Group from

Cincinnati, Ohio and Waters and Company Executive Recruitment from Mechanicsville, Virginia. The recommended firm is Waters and Company Executive Recruitment. One of the primary reasons this firm was recommended was they were the only firm that has ties to and have provided Administrator search previously in the State of South Carolina. A planning meeting with the search firm is scheduled this week, and after all logistics are worked out, they will meet with the Council to set up the parameters and search process jointly.

- **F. Request Of Action: Detention Center Cooler/Freezer Replacement.** Fiscal impact is \$26,295.00, which is a mission-critical item. The County has been renting a freezer, which is pretty expensive to do and have had some issues with the rental units. The committee's unanimous recommendation is Wilson Refrigeration And A/C Service. Douglas Equipment appears to be the first lowest responsive bidder; however, they submitted additional information totaling \$8,500.00, which disqualified them from being the lowest responsive bidder. It was moved by Council Member Smith; seconded by Council Member Kinley to approve the Request of Action for the Detention Center Cooler/Freezer Replacement. The motion carried unanimously.
- G. Request Of Action: Construction Administration Services For Detention Center Roof. There has been a significant amount of discussion about this project. Initially, in the FY 2015-2016 budget, there was an amount of \$500,000.00 as an estimate in the budget. That number was derived from Mead and Hunt's preliminary estimates of construction around \$400,000.00. Also, engineering costs and design would be added to that, which is how the \$500,000.00 figure came about to place in the budget. That information was sent out and the first time, the bid information came back quite larger than the \$500,000.00 that was budgeted. Administration recommended to Council to get a second opinion and Administration went through that process. Based on the estimates and information from Davis and Floyd, the project still would have to be bid, the estimated engineering services and estimated construction repair for the roof at the Detention Center for little under \$270,000.00. Staff met with Davis and Floyd to go through the criteria and standards to get comfort regarding this was a good proposal. The committee unanimously recommended to move forward with the Davis and Floyd proposal in the amount of \$269,088.00. It was moved by Council Member Kinley and Vice Chairman Marcharia; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Request of Action for the Construction Administration Services for the Detention Center Roof. The motion carried unanimously.
- H. Request Of Action: Upgrades Via Virtualization (Data Center Servers). The County currently uses a conventional approach for its data servers, and are now at a point to need to replace several servers because of their age. Based upon the IT Director's recommendation, the Virtual Machine is a better option over the long term. \$246,000.00 was budgeted for this potential replacement of those items. The cost itself, based upon the proposals, was \$247,676.63 and the justification of that was sent to the committee. The committee's recommendation was unanimous. It was moved by Council Member Smith; seconded by Council Member Kinley to approve the Request of Action for the Upgrades Via Virtualization (Data Center Servers). The motion carried unanimously.
- **I. Request Of Action: Public Works Lowboy Trailer.** \$55,000.00 budgeted. This particular piece of equipment has hydraulics on it, which will make it much more efficient and not needing as much manual labor to use it. This will be bid out, and the committee recommended this unanimously. It was moved by Vice Chairman Marcharia; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Request of Action for the Public Works Lowboy Trailer. **The motion carried unanimously.**

- **J. Request Of Action: Sheriff's Office Purchase Dodge Charger For New Position.** This was actually a savings in the budget. The Sheriff's Office stated the 2014 vehicle, at a total cost of \$24,672.45, would suffice for their needs. The committee recommended approval of this unanimously. It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Smith to approve the Request of Action for the Sheriff's Office to Purchase a Dodge Charger for the New Position. **The motion carried unanimously.**
- K. Request Of Action: Sheriff's Office Purchase 2 Chevrolet Caprice (Replacements). Total cost of \$34,910.00. It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Robinson to approve the Request of Action for the Sheriff's Office to Purchase 2 Chevrolet Caprice (Replacements). The motion carried unanimously.
- L. Request Of Action: Sheriff's Office Evidence Room Annex. This item came to committee without a recommendation because Administration had asked Council to give staff some leeway on this request. This is a SLED requirement where evidence has to be stored in a certain manner. Have received estimates on a cost for having an adequate facility at the Armory for the Sheriff's Department. He felt as though that was the most appropriate venue for the evidence. The amount of \$295,00.00 was not actually budgeted in the FY 2015-2016 budget; however, those funds could be taken from the bond. Asking Council for consideration of approval that, based upon the fact that the bond money can be used for this particular project, it appears there will be savings from the Detention Center Roof; therefore, it won't be necessary to take as many funds from the bond. Asking Council to move forward with approval of the \$295,000.00 to come out of the IPRB. Council Member Robinson clarified that this is the Armory on Old Airport Road. It was moved by Council Member Robinson; seconded by Vice Chairman Marcharia to approve the Request of Action for the Sheriff's Office Evidence Room Annex. During discussion, Council Member Smith inquired if he heard correctly that this went to committee, but did not come with a recommendation full Council, and asked if this was ever requested from the Sheriff during the budget cycle. Vice Chairman Marcharia set forth that the Sheriff is a constitutional officer and an elected official, and would suggest that if any citizens have questions that they could meet with the Sheriff just as well as meet with Council. The motion carried unanimously.
- M. Request Of Action: Solid Waste Rolloff Truck. Requesting new rolloff truck to replace a 2007 model truck with 261,000 miles and other maintenance issues and needs a new engine. This truck will replace one of the first rolloff trucks when the County started its own trash and recyclables. Because this is vital to the fleet, this was included in the budgeting process with a fiscal impact of \$175,000.00, to be purchased off State contract. It was moved by Council Member Kinley; seconded by Council Member Smith to approve the Request of Action for the Solid Waste Rolloff Truck. The motion carried unanimously.
- 14. CLERK TO COUNCIL'S REPORT No report.
- 15. 2ND PUBLIC COMMENT (3 MINUTES): INPUT CAN BE TO INTRODUCE AN ITEM NOT CURRENTLY UNDER COUNCIL'S CONSIDERATION OR BRING A CONCERN TO COUNCIL'S ATTENTION. THE TOTAL TIME ALLOCATED TO THIS PUBLIC COMMENT SEGMENT IS 30 MINUTES. The following individuals signed to speak:
 - □ Ms. Minge Wiseman Horses
 - ☐ Mrs. Terry Vickers Pumpkin Fest/Rock Around The Clock Festival
 - ☐ Mr. Randy Bright Whatever Happened To?

16. COUNTY COUNCIL TIME

Kinley: and Commissio	Thanked the Clerk to Council for her efforts in coordinating the Board ns Training Session last week.
success story. 2015 and that	Expressed he was glad Council postponed the rezoning on the Pellet der to get all the information necessary. Thanked Ms. Wiseman for the Reported the Pig on the Ridge event in Ridgeway on November 6 and 7, the steering committee sent a check in the amount of \$2,000.00 to the Cross for the flood victims.
Marcharia: Gladnev.	Expressed condolences to the families of Rev. Feaster and Mr. Landy

and Friends of the Library, the Honorable Mention participants from K4 as well as the 14 speakers from first grade through senior who talked about wealth, economic

Commented on the essay writing contest sponsored by the Chamber

17. EXECUTIVE SESSION

development and health.

☐ C. Robinson:

At 8:00 P.M., it was moved by Council Member Robinson; seconded by Vice Chairman Marcharia to go in executive session to discuss a property easement; property tax appeal; recreation contract; sewer agreement; potential economic development project; County Administrator search and Economic Development hiring. **The motion carried unanimously.**

{The Clerk to Council was excused from attending executive session, and was not present when Council returned to open session. Deputy Administrator Davis Anderson operated the recorder when Council returned to open session}.

It was moved by Vice Chairman Marcharia; seconded by Council Member Kinley to come out of executive session and return to open session. **The motion carried unanimously.**

It was moved by Council Member Robinson; seconded by Council Member Kinley to give the Administrator the authority to extend the business agreement with Hazen and Sawyer on a sewer agreement. **The motion carried unanimously.**

It was moved by Council Member Robinson; seconded by Council Member Kinley to give the Administrator the ability to extend an option on two (2) parcels of property in Fairfield County for up to twelve (12) working days. **The motion carried unanimously.**

18. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned, upon the unanimous approval of Council.

SHRYLL M. BROWN	CAROLYN B. ROBINSON
CLERK TO COUNCIL	CHAIRMAN